

# Overview of virtual national laboratory objectives, plans, and projects

B.G. LOGAN,<sup>1</sup> C.M. CELATA,<sup>1</sup> J.W. KWAN,<sup>1</sup> E.P. LEE,<sup>1</sup> M. LEITNER,<sup>1</sup> P.A. SEIDL,<sup>1</sup> S.S. YU,<sup>1</sup>  
J.J. BARNARD,<sup>2</sup> A. FRIEDMAN,<sup>2</sup> W.R. MEIER,<sup>2</sup> AND R.C. DAVIDSON<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

<sup>2</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA

<sup>3</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08543, USA

## Abstract

Significant experimental and theoretical progress has been made in the U.S. heavy ion fusion program on high-current sources, transport, and focusing. Currents over 200 mA have been transported through a matching section and 10 half-lattice periods with electric quadrupoles. An experiment shows control of high-beam current with an aperture, while avoiding secondary electrons. New theory and simulations of the neutralization of intense beam space charge with plasma in various focusing chamber configurations predict that near-emittance-limited beam focal spot sizes can be obtained even with beam perveance (ratio of beam space potential to ion energy)  $>10\times$  higher than in earlier HIF focusing experiments. Progress in a new focusing experiment with plasma neutralization up to  $10^{-3}$  perveance, and designs for a next-step experiment to study beam brightness evolution from source to target are described.

**Keywords:** Accelerators; HCX; Heavy ions; IBX; Injectors; NTX; Targets

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Demonstration of inertial fusion ignition and energy gain in the laboratory is expected with the National Ignition Facility (NIF), which will provide the scientific basis for the target physics for inertial fusion energy (IFE). In addition, IFE will require development of efficient and affordable drivers, mass-produced high-gain targets, and long-life, low-activation chambers for 5 to 10 Hz pulse rates. Heavy ion accelerator-driven power plants are an attractive IFE approach because (1) published HIF target designs exist with more than adequate gain, and two-dimensional hydrostability (Callahan *et al.*, 2002), (2) thick-liquid protected chambers (Peterson, pers. comm.) are compatible with indirect-drive illumination geometry, (3) cryo-fuel capsules injected into hot chambers are protected within hohlraums (Goodin *et al.*, 2002), (4) high energy particle accelerators of MJ-beam energy scale have exhibited intrinsic efficiencies, pulse rates, power levels, and longevity required for IFE, and (5) the clear-bore magnets used to focus heavy ions should tolerate target debris and can be well shielded to target neutron and gamma radiation (Peterson, pers. comm.). For U.S. HIF driver re-

search based on induction linacs, the main new challenge is accelerating, transporting and focusing intense heavy ion beams with high line-charge density (of order  $0.1 \mu\text{C}/\text{m}$  at injection to  $>10 \mu\text{C}/\text{m}$  at final focus with associated high space-charge beam potentials of  $>1 \text{ kV}$  to  $100 \text{ kV}$ ).

Heavy ion fusion driver research in the United States is carried out primarily under the auspices of the Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory (HIF-VNL) by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL), Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL), and Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL), closely coupled to other HIF beam science research carried out by the University of Maryland, Mission Research Corporation, and the University of Missouri.

Heavy ion fusion-related chamber and target development is carried out through the Virtual Laboratory for Technology (VLT) at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, General Atomics, Sandia National Laboratories, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Georgia Institute of Technology, and the University of California at Berkeley, San Diego, and Los Angeles.

## 2. CURRENT HIF DRIVER RESEARCH

Particle-in-cell simulations are in good agreement with past low current (milliampere level) beam experiments in trans-

Address correspondence and reprint requests to: B. Grant Logan, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, One Cyclotron Road, MS 47-112, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA. E-mail: bglogan@lbl.gov


port (Tiefenback, 1986; Fawley *et al.*, 1997; Seidl *et al.*, 1998) and focusing (MacLaren *et al.*, 2002) in which the dimensionless beam perveance (space-charge potential/ion kinetic energy) was of driver scale for injection. The U.S. fusion program has now embarked on three new experiments at about  $100\times$  greater line-charge density than in the previous scaled-beam experiments in the HIF program:

1. The High Current Experiment (HCX; Fig. 1), which began operation in January 2002, will study dynamic aperture limits, emittance growth, halos, steering, and wall/secondary electron interactions with a 1–1.8 MeV, 300–600 mA  $K^{+1}$  ion beam, starting with 10 electric and 4 magnetic quadrupoles. The single-beam HCX will be the first heavy ion electric and magnetic quadrupole transport experiment with the high line-charge density ( $\lambda \sim 0.1\text{--}0.25\ \mu\text{C}/\text{m}$ ) and pulse duration ( $4.5 < \tau < 10\ \mu\text{s}$ ) expected in a driver. It will have a coasting beam at an injection energy  $1.0 < E < 2.0\ \text{MeV}$  ( $K^{+}$ ). The values of these variables, for a driver, are presently believed to lie in similar broad ranges:  $0.1 < \lambda < 1.0\ \mu\text{C}/\text{m}$ ,  $5 < \tau < 50\ \mu\text{s}$ , and  $1 < E < 4\ \text{MeV}$  at injection. One of the primary goals of the HCX will be to answer the above scientific questions that would enable appropriate choices of machine apertures and spacing of beam centroid corrections needed for high-confidence and attractive driver designs. Initial results will be reported for transport through the first 10 electric quadrupoles. (See Seidl *et al.*, 2002 on HCX experiments and Lund (pers. comm.) on HCX theory/simulation.)

2. The Neutralized Transport Experiment (NTX) under construction (Fig. 2) will use a 400-keV, 80-mA  $K^{+1}$  ion beam to study perveance limits to final focus as well as plasma neutralization in various chamber configurations. The measured NTX gun beam quality ( $\epsilon_n < 0.1\ \pi\ \text{mm}\text{-mr}$ ) is more than adequate to allow spot-size differences between 90 and 95% space-charge neutralization to be distinguishable. (See Henestroza, pers. comm.)
3. Merging beamlet injector. A novel injector concept with merging beamlets will be tested at  $>500\ \text{mA}$  total current on a recently completed STS 500-kV test stand at LLNL (Fig. 3). A new high-brightness and high-current-density Argon plasma source ( $100\ \text{mA}/\text{cm}^2$ ) on an LLNL STS 100-kV test stand will be described. (See Ahle, pers. comm.)

## 2.1. University of Maryland HIF research

Nonrelativistic electron beams at 10 keV and  $\sim 100\ \text{mA}$  can be used to experimentally simulate the space-charge-dominated beam dynamics of heavy ion beams that may be used in a future heavy ion power plant. A recirculating ring of such electron beams under construction at the University of Maryland can be used to study long-path issues over many hundreds of focusing lattice periods at low cost (see poster by O'Shea & Kishek, pers. comm.). The long-path issues include transverse and longitudinal temperature equipartition, mixing and dissipation of perturbations, instabilities, emittance, and halo growth.



## Transport

- aperture limits
- electrons
- gas effects
- halo formation
- steering




Fig. 1. The High Current Transport Experiment began operation in January, 2002.





Fig. 2. The Neutralized Transport Experiment is currently under construction.

## 2.2. Enabling technology for HCX

### 2.2.1. Superconducting magnet development

Present superconducting transport lattice designs center on magnet/cryostat systems being developed by the VNL

laboratories and external partners (MIT, Advanced Magnet Laboratory, LLNL, and LBNL) suitable for a transport experiment using the HCX beam described above. The present designs have a period of  $2L = 45$  cm, a quadrupole occupancy of  $\eta = 0.5$ , and superconducting wire at a radius of



A new 500 KV facility at LLNL will explore a new compact injector concept useful for all future experiments, as well as feasibility of high-brightness plasma sources.






Fig. 3. A new 500-kV test stand at LLNL will be used to develop new sources, injectors, and diagnostics for the HIF program.

$r_w = 3.5$  cm with a peak field in the conductors of 6–7 Tesla. Prototypes of two different designs were successfully tested in 2001. In 2002 we are also developing a unit transport cell consisting of two quadrupoles sharing a common dewar, in a cryostat configuration compatible with acceleration gaps.

### 2.2.2. Room temperature magnet development

Four room temperature, pulsed magnets will be used in HCX to begin the study of high-current beam transport in magnetic quadrupoles. These quadrupoles were originally fabricated for a prototype array magnet, and provide lower gradient (than is required in HCX). A high-gradient, pulsed magnet design study is being developed for comparison with the superconducting designs.

### 2.2.3. Induction core development

Extensions of the HCX under consideration include acceleration and bunch compression. This requires quality cores that can produce reliable, long-pulse-duration waveforms. A bunch control induction module will be integrated into HCX for experiments in 2003–2004. Other ongoing efforts include the continued evaluation of alloys for induction cores. Several coatings have been tested that allow induction cores to be annealed after winding, and most have demonstrated adequate voltage holding.

## 2.3. Theory and Modeling

The HIF program has developed unique simulation tools to explore the relevant physics areas. Our codes are characterized by their methods and regimes of applicability.

### 1. Follow particles (plasma particle-in-cell method):

WARP (driver) (Friedman *et al.*, 1992; Grote *et al.*, 2001): Three-dimensional (or  $r, z$  or  $x, y$ ) electrostatic (ES), detailed lattice. This code offers a detailed beamline description but is suitable for studies of propagation over long distances. It uses novel "cut-cell" boundaries to allow sub-grid-scale resolution of internal structures. It has been benchmarked versus HIF experiments, and others.

LSP (chamber and driver) (Hughes *et al.*, 1999; Sharp *et al.*, 1993, 1996): Three-dimensional (or  $r, z$ ) implicit (or explicit) electromagnetic (EM) or electrostatic (ES), hybrid (kinetic/fluid). This well-benchmarked code is optimized for the study of systems with a wide range of scales and high-density plasmas. It has been benchmarked on a variety of applications inside and outside HIF.

### 2. Follow particles and perturbation ( $\delta f$ ) to the beam distribution function:

BEST (chamber and driver) (Qin *et al.*, 2000; Startsev *et al.*, 2002; Welch *et al.*, 2001): Three-dimensional EM, Darwin, or ES. This code uses a nonlinear-perturbative formulation to minimize the discrete-particle noise. As such, it is especially suitable for detailed studies of modes on a beam, where the structure of the modes is of significance. It is serving as a test bed for the development of a novel magneto-inductive (Darwin) model. It has been bench-

marked versus experiments on the Los Alamos Proton Storage Ring (PSR) experiment.

### 3. Evolve moments of distribution function:

CIRCE and HERMES (driver) (de Hoon, 2001, 2002): transverse moments, longitudinal Lagrangian fluid. These models exist within the WARP framework (CIRCE also runs stand-alone), and couple transverse "envelope equations" at multiple "slices" of a long beam by means of Lagrangian fluid equations. The models differ in their internal representations; both are useful for scoping and synthesis, for example, for drift compression and pulse shaping.

A key strategic goal of the program is an integrated and detailed source-to-target simulation capability (Fig. 4). Improved theory and simulations allow analysis of collective effects, halo generation, and ion–electron interactions over many lattice periods (Davidson *et al.*, 2002). Improved particle-in-cell codes enable modeling these experiments with realistic (not just idealized) beam distributions (See papers by Lund, Celata, and Friedman, pers. comm.). The higher beam space-charge potentials attendant to these higher current experiments will allow us to study the effects of ionization of residual gas by the beam, trapping in the beam of stray electrons, and dynamical effects of these processes on the beam, such as ion–electron instabilities (see papers by Molvik, pers. comm., and Qin, pers. comm.). The main approach is to follow the unneutralized beam using particle-in-cell simulations through injection, acceleration, longitudinal drift compression/bending (see paper by Lee, pers. comm.), and final focus, and then seamlessly feed the beam distributions into a hybrid PIC code for ballistic propagation through chamber plasma to the target (see paper by Sharp, pers. comm.). Well-understood simulations confirmed by experiments will allow analytic models (e.g., see Kagano-vich *et al.*, 2002, on neutralization) to be developed and inserted into a systems code used to optimize the design of HIF drivers and future experiments in an integrated fashion, taking into account injection, acceleration, drift compression, final focus, and chamber propagation. Improved analytical theory and simulations have led to improved final focus models for drivers, with both emittance growth models in the accelerator, and the effects of emittance growth due to nonlinear residual space-charge fields in chamber propagation. If confirmed by experiments, the new focusing physics with plasma neutralization could have important implications for optimizing target designs with larger spot sizes, higher beam perveance, and fewer beams.

The area of beam loss and interaction with gas and electrons is emerging as a key area of common interest to the HIF experiments described above and high-energy ion accelerators that have comparable line-charge densities and space-charge potentials (e.g.,  $>1$  kV). An experiment with the new NTX ion beam (Fig. 5) reduces the beam current by a factor of two with an aperture, while preventing the ingress of secondary electrons (see Henestroza, pers. comm.). The central peak feature in the measured ion current profile shown in Figure 5 is consistent with the simulated effects of




Fig. 4. Schematic of a heavy ion fusion driver and chamber, showing domains of applicability of the various classes of simulation codes employed in simulations of beams from source to target.

secondary electrons back-streaming to the anode source, lowering the local space charge which limits the ion-current density. Two electrodes are added adjacent to the "limiter," which eliminates the central peak in ion emission current at the bias voltage calculated to create a potential barrier to secondary electrons, both upstream and downstream of the aperture. Limiting apertures have been considered as a means to control the location of halo ions escaping the beam channel at small angles in high-intensity ion rings. This experiment suggests an important method by which we may vary

the beam current in our future HIF experiments, as well as pointing to a potential method to control deleterious secondary electron emission effects from scrape-off apertures in high-intensity ion storage rings.

#### 2.4. ARIES IFE power plant study—results for HIF

For the past 18 months, the ARIES power plant design group headquartered in San Diego has been evaluating feasibility issues for variety of IFE drivers, including lasers and heavy

Secondary electrons streaming toward ion source cause peaking of ion current density





Fig. 5. Experiment to vary high current beam (with aperture) while trapping secondary electrons.

ions, and a variety of chambers, including dry wall, thin-liquid coated walls, and most recently, thick-liquid protected walls. Preliminary results so far indicate:

1. There are severe limits to chamber temperature and gas fill for survival of injected laser-driven direct-drive targets, due to the need for smooth beta-layered DT ice layers held within very small temperature windows. Indirect drive used for HIF is more robust because hohlraums provide thermal shielding of the capsule (Goodin *et al.*, 2002).
2. Assisted and self-pinch mode chamber propagation can be supported with chamber pressures in the 100 milli Torr to few Torr range (Olson, pers. comm.), but vacuum pumping between the chamber and the final focus magnets is very challenging due to the need to avoid stripping of heavy ions on gas atoms in regions with magnetic field.
3. Recent work with a new molten salt mixture including sodium, called Flinabe, promises to greatly reduce chamber gas and beamline stripping significantly in HIF chambers with thick-liquid chambers for low-pressure ballistic neutralized focusing. Final focus magnet shielding and lifetimes for HIF with more than 12 beams per side would benefit from hohlraum designs that would allow larger focusing array angles (e.g.,  $24^\circ$  rather than  $12^\circ$  previously; Peterson, pers. comm., on final focus designs and Callahan *et al.*, 2002, on progress on large-angle distributed radiator target designs).

### 3. FUTURE PLANS

To optimize near-term scientific productivity and accommodate modest fusion budget growth over the next several years, a next-step integrated beam physics experiment (IBX) is proposed, which would be an intermediate step between present experiments and a much larger Integrated Research Experiment (IRE; see Barnard *et al.*, 2002). The IRE would validate prototype driver technology with multiple beams. The IBX, together with parallel supporting HIF research and enabling accelerator technology, would support a decision for a more capable IRE starting in the 2008 time frame. The IBX will provide a well-diagnosed experiment to test integrated beam dynamics models for at least one beam through the injection, acceleration, longitudinal drift compression, and final focus, with sufficient beam current to include important gas-electron interactions. As such, the IBX will make a major contribution validating integrated beam models, and will undoubtedly lead to higher confidence and more capable IRE designs. The crucial integration role of the IBX is to test the ability to achieve a high beam brightness (focusability) from source to target, and the ability to predict the final focal spot size. The final focus spot size depends on accumulated beam phase-space changes through each region of the accelerator system. The Integrated Beam Experiment will be the first experiment with all of the post-accelerator manipulations of the beam found in the driver-drift compression, final focus, and chamber transport. The longitudinal profile of a driver-scale beam under induction acceleration, its profile and the manipulation of that profile, and the resultant emittance changes




Fig. 6. Long-range HIF development strategy based on a proof-of-principle integrated beam physics experiment followed by a staged IRE/ETF facility.

would be studied for the first time. Electron dynamics with acceleration would also be addressed, with the opportunity for the first time to see electron effects on beams undergoing strong acceleration and longitudinal bunch compression.

Figure 6 illustrates the major elements in an updated long-range HIF strategy, which incorporates the IBX. Note that implosion experiments in the National Ignition Facility testing symmetry begin in 2007, a few years before the IRE would begin. In addition to beam dynamics studies with the IBX, it is important to study intense heavy-ion-beam interactions with candidate HIF target materials over the next 8–10 years before the IRE would be operational. RF-linac-storage ring facilities can be used to study heavy-ion target interactions at 1–10 eV (Jacoby, pers. comm.), but in addition it may be possible to carry out heavy-ion-target interaction experiments at smaller scale but at higher temperatures of 50–100 eV using high-brightness heavy ion beams generated by short-pulse lasers (Campbell, Roth, and Cowan, pers. comm.)

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

The current U.S. heavy-ion fusion program addresses major science issues for intense beams through a combination of improved theory and simulation, with separate high-current experiments in transport focusing and injection. We are beginning to obtain exciting technical results from three new high-current experiments. An Integrated Beam Experiment (IBX) is proposed as a next step. The IBX, with enabling technology improvement, would provide the basis for an HIF Integrated Research Experiment (IRE) in parallel with NIF ignition experiments.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the University of California, Lawrence Berkeley, and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories under Contract Numbers DE-AC03-76SF00098 and W-7405-Eng-48, and by the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory under Contract Number DE-AC02-76CH03073.

#### REFERENCES

- BARNARD, J.J., AHLE, L.E., BIENIOSEK, F.M., CELATA, C.M., DAVIDSON, R.C., HENESTROZA, E., FRIEDMAN, A., KWAN, J.W., LOGAN, B.G., LEE, E.P., LUND, S.M., MEIER, W.R., SABBI, G.-L., SEIDL, P.A., SHARP, W.M., SHUMAN, D.B., WALDRON, W.L., QIN, H. & YU, S.S. (2002). Integrated experiments for heavy ion fusion. *Laser Part. Beams* **20**, 405–410.
- CALLAHAN, D.A., HERRMANN, M.C. & TABAK, M. (2002). Progress in heavy ion target capsule and hohlraum design. *Laser Part. Beams* **20**, 411–414.
- DAVIDSON, R.C., KAGANOVICH, I.D., LEE, W.W., QIN, H., STARTSEV, E.A., TZENOV, S., FRIEDMAN, A., BARNARD, J.J., COHEN, J., GROTE, D.P., LUND, S.M., SHARP, W.M., CELATA, C.M., DEHOON, M., HENESTROZA, E., LEE, E.P., YU, S.S., VAY, J.-L., ROSE, D.V. & OLSON, C.L. (2002). Overview of theory and modeling in the heavy ion fusion virtual national laboratory. *Laser Part. Beams* **20**, 399–403.
- DE HOON, M.J.L. *et al.* (2002). Submitted to *Physics of Plasmas*.
- DE HOON, M.J.L. (2001). Cold phase fluid model of the longitudinal dynamics of space-charged dominated beams. PhD Thesis. University of California, Berkeley, CA.
- FAWLEY, W.M., VAY, J.-L. & GROTE, D.P. (1997). End-to-end modeling of a heavy-ion fusion accelerator. *Phys. Plasmas* **4**, 880–894.
- FRIEDMAN, A. & LEE, E.P. (1992). Beam dynamics for heavy ion fusion: Status and issues. *Phys. Fluids B* **4**, 2203.
- GOODIN, D.T., NOBILE, A., ALEXANDER, N.B., BROWN, L.C., MAXWELL, J.L., PULSIFER, J., SCHWENDT, A.M., TILLACK, M. & WILLIAMS, R.S. (2002). A credible pathway for heavy ion driven target fabrication and injection. *Laser Part. Beams* **20**, 515–518.
- GROTE, D.P., FRIEDMAN, A., CRAIG, G.D., SHARP, W.M. & HABER, I. (2001). Progress toward source-to-target simulation. *Nucl. Instr. Meth. in Phys. Res. A* **464**, 563.
- HUGHES, T.P. & YU, S.S. (1999). Three-dimensional calculations for a 4kA, 3.4 MV, 2 microsecond injector with asymmetric power feed. *Phys. Rev. ST-AB* **2**, 110401.
- KAGANOVICH, I.D., STARTSEV, E.A. & DAVIDSON, R.C. (2002). Analytical and numerical studies of heavy ion beam transport in the fusion chamber. *Laser Part. Beams* **20**, 497–502.
- MACLAREN, S.A., FALTENS, A., SEIDL, P.A. & ROSE, D.V. (2002). Results from a scaled final focus experiment for heavy ion fusion. *Physics of Plasmas* **9**, 1712–1720.
- QIN, H. *et al.* (2000). *Physics Letter A* **272**, 389.
- SEIDL, P.A., BANGERTER, R.O., CELATA, C.M., FALTENS, A., KARPENKO, V.P., LEE, E.P., HABER, I. & LUND, S. (1998). Overview of scientific objectives of the high current experiment for heavy-ion fusion. *Nucl. Instr. Meth. in Phys. Res. A* **415**, 243.
- SEIDL, P.A., BACA, D., BIENIOSEK, F.M., FALTENS, A., LUND, S.M., MOLVIK, A.W., PROST, L.R. & WALDRON, W.L. (2002). The high current experiment: First results. *Laser Part. Beams* **20**, 435–440.
- SHARP, W.M., CALLAHAN, D.A. & GROTE, D.P. (1996). Longitudinal dynamics and stability in beams for heavy ion fusion. *Fusion Eng. Design* **32–33**, 201–208.
- SHARP, W.M., BARNARD, J.J., YU, S.S. & FESSENDEN, T.J. (1993). Steering algorithms for linear and recirculation heavy ion accelerators. American Institute of Physics, Computational Accelerator Physics 540–548.
- STARTSEV, E., DAVIDSON, R.C. & QIN, H. (2002). Nonlinear simulation studies of intense charged particle beam with large temperature anisotropy. *Phys. Plasmas* **9**, in press.
- TIEFENBACK, M.G. (1986). Space-charge limits on the transport of ion beams in a long alternating gradient system. PhD Thesis. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA.
- WELCH, D.R., ROSE, D.V., SHARP, W.M., OLSON, C.L. & YU, S.S. (2001). Effects of pre-neutralization on heavy-ion-fusion. *Nucl. Instr. Meth. in Phys. Res. A* **464**, 134.